| DECISION-MAKER:   | SCRUTINY INQUIRY PANEL   |
|-------------------|--|
| SUBJECT:          | PROTECTING, PRESERVING AND PROMOTING THE<br>RIVER ITCHEN IN SOUTHAMPTON – EXPLORING<br>GOOD PRACTICE |
| DATE OF DECISION: | 2 MARCH 2023   |
| REPORT OF:        | SCRUTINY MANAGER   |

| CONTACT DETAILS    |        |                                |      |               |
|--------------------|--------|--------------------------------|------|---------------|
| Executive Director | Title  | Chief Executive                |      |               |
|                    | Name:  | Mike Harris Tel: 023 8083 2882 |      |               |
|                    | E-mail | Mike.harris@southampton.gov.uk |      |               |
| Author             | Title  | Scrutiny Manager               |      |               |
|                    | Name:  | Mark Pirnie                    | Tel: | 023 8083 3886 |
|                    | E-mail | Mark.pirnie@southampton.gov.uk |      |               |

## STATEMENT OF CONFIDENTIALITY

None

## **BRIEF SUMMARY**

In accordance with the inquiry plan, for the sixth meeting of the 'Protecting, Preserving and Promoting the River Itchen in Southampton Inquiry' the Panel will be considering examples of good practice being employed to protect, preserve and promote urban rivers in the UK.

| RECO  | MMENDA  | TIONS:   |  |  |
|-------|---|--|--|--|
|       | (i)   | The Panel is recommended to consider the comments made by the invited guests and use the information provided as evidence in the review.             |  |  |
| REASC | ONS FOR   | REPORT RECOMMENDATIONS   |  |  |
| 1.    | To enable the Panel to compile a file of evidence in order to formulate findings and recommendations at the end of the review process.  |  |  |  |
| ALTER | NATIVE  | OPTIONS CONSIDERED AND REJECTED  |  |  |
| 2.    | None.   |  |  |  |
| DETAI | DETAIL (Including consultation carried out)   |  |  |  |
| 3.    | At the sixth, and final evidence gathering meeting of the inquiry, the Panel will<br>be considering examples where urban areas have sought to address the<br>challenges that have been raised through the inquiry relating to protecting,<br>preserving and promoting rivers. |  |  |  |
| 4.    |   | owing guests have been invited to the meeting to provide the Panel<br>amples of best practice and to outline different approaches that can be<br>ed: |  |  |

| Britair<br>Water<br>author                                   | es21 developed from a partnership programme supported by Keep<br>Tidy, the Port of London Authority, the Environment Agency, That<br>, British Waterways, The Corporation of London and 19 local<br>rities. The charity is now independent and is funded by a wide varie<br>able trusts, companies and public funding.  |
|--|---|
| Tham<br>acts a<br>improv<br>thousa                           | es21, like Wessex Rivers Trust, is a member of The Rivers Trust as the voice for London's waterways, working with communities to ve rivers and canals for people and wildlife. Thames21 mobilises ands of volunteers every year to clean and green the capital's 400 rk of waterways.   |
| Tham<br>can us<br>It has                                     | es21 aims to transform neglected waterways into areas that every<br>se and enjoy, by using innovative and tailored community approac<br>a growing and diverse programme of water improvement projects<br>s London. These projects are outlined in the <u>Thames21 - 5 Year P</u>  |
|  | ndsay Pratt - Principal Planner, Development and Regeneratio<br>ervices at Glasgow City Council   |
| Glaso<br>Glaso<br>being<br>to rec                            | River Clyde extends from South Lanarkshire to the Firth of Clyde, a<br>gow is the largest conurbation within the river catchment area. In<br>gow the river is particularly urban in character and has a long histo<br>actively managed for industry. It is now facing significant change<br>cent and proposed development, including investment through the<br>Waterfront and West End programme.   |
| from   | uture evolution and development of the River Corridor is inseparab<br>the impacts of climate change on the river and the city, particularly<br>f increased flooding.  |
| Fram<br>Corrie<br>the R<br>create<br>econe<br>recog<br>and t | 20 Glasgow City Council published a Strategic Development<br>ework (SDF) that sets out a vision for the River Clyde Development<br>dor for the next 30 years. It shows how the successful regeneration<br>iver Corridor will support a critical mass of people and activity, and<br>e a well-connected, vibrant place. The SDF supports a variety of<br>pmic, environmental and social outcomes along the River Corridor,<br>phising the Clyde as the City's largest area of continuous open spa<br>he most significant element of the urban green and blue network in<br>Region. |
| <u>River</u>   | Clyde Development Corridor - Strategic Development Framew   |
| • K  | aren McHugh - Solent Forum Manager  |
| Selse<br>Portsr  | olent coastline is around 241 miles long on the mainland between<br>y Bill and Hurst Spit, and includes Chichester, Langstone and<br>nouth Harbours, Southampton Water and the tidal extent of the ma<br>including the River Itchen.  |
|  | olent and its inlets are unique in Britain and Europe for their<br>graphic regime of four tides each day, and for the complexity of the<br>e and estuarine habitats present within the area.  |

|                | •  | ears the Solent has fulfilled a vital role as a waterway for trading, fishing and more recently recreation.  |  |  |
|----------------|--|--|--|--|
|                | Like other coastal z<br>of planning and ma<br>the land-sea divide<br>There is a correspo-<br>initiatives around th<br>different purposes a<br>The Solent Forum v<br>greater understand<br>planning and mana<br>them in carrying ou | cones in the UK, the Solent has a highly complex system<br>nagement due to the different regulatory systems across<br>and the wide range of authorities and agencies involved.<br>ondingly large number of plans, strategies and other<br>he Solent, produced by a range of organisations for<br>and covering different areas and timescales.<br>was established in December 1992, in order to develop a<br>ing among the authorities and agencies involved in<br>gement in the Solent area, and to assist and influence<br>t their functions. The Forum does not have any executive<br>nbers have no voting rights. |  |  |
| F              | The invited success  | will take guartians from the Danal relating to the suidense  |  |  |
| 5.             | 0  | will take questions from the Panel relating to the evidence<br>of any presentations will be made available to the Panel.   |  |  |
| RESOU          | RCE IMPLICATION  | S  |  |  |
| Capital/       | Revenue/Property/  | <u>Other</u>   |  |  |
| 6.             | None   |  |  |  |
| LEGAL          | IMPLICATIONS   |  |  |  |
| <u>Statuto</u> | Statutory power to undertake proposals in the report:  |  |  |  |
| 7.             | The duty to undertake overview and scrutiny is set out in Part 1A Section 9 of the Local Government Act 2000.  |  |  |  |
| Other L        | r Legal Implications:  |  |  |  |
| 8.             | None   |  |  |  |
| <b>RISK M</b>  | MANAGEMENT IMPLICATIONS  |  |  |  |
| 9.             | None   |  |  |  |
| POLICY         | FRAMEWORK IMF  | PLICATIONS   |  |  |
| 10.            | None   |  |  |  |
|                |  |  |  |  |
| KEY DE         | CISION?  | No   |  |  |

| KET DE                      |                          | NO      |      |
|-----------------------------|--------------------------|---------|------|
| WARDS/COMMUNITIES AFFECTED: |                          | FECTED: | None |
|                             | SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION |         |      |
| Appendices                  |                          |         |      |
| 1.                          | None                     |         |      |

## **Documents In Members' Rooms**

| 1.       | None                       |  |  |  |  |
|----------|----------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Equality | Equality Impact Assessment |  |  |  |  |

| Do the implications/subject of the report require an Equality and Safety<br>Impact Assessment (ESIA) to be carried out?  |  |                  | No                   |  |
|--|--|------------------|----------------------|--|
| Data Protection Impact Assessment  |  |                  |                      |  |
|  | Do the implications/subject of the report require a Data Protection Impact No Assessment (DPIA) to be carried out? |                  |                      |  |
| Other B  | ackground documents available fo   | r inspection at: |                      |  |
| Title of Background Paper(s)Relevant Paragraph of the AccessInformation Procedure Rules /<br>Schedule 12A allowing document<br>be Exempt/Confidential (if applica) |  |                  | ules /<br>ocument to |  |
| 1.   | Thames21 - 5 Year Plan   |                  |                      |  |
| 2.   | River Clyde Development Corridor - Strategic Development Framework   |                  |                      |  |